arXiv:1602.02441v1 [hep-th] 8 Feb 2016

Flag-dipole and flagpole spinors fluid flows in Kerr spacetimes

Roldão da Rocha[1] and R. T. Cavalcanti[2,3,*]

[1] *Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição, Universidade Federal do ABC, UFABC, 09210-580, Santo André, Brazil.*

[2] *CCNH, Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-580, Santo André - SP, Brazil*

[3] *Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, via Irnerio 46, 40126 Bologna, Italy*

Flagpole and flag-dipole spinors are particular classes of spinor fields that has been recently used in different branches of theoretical physics. In this paper, we study the possibility and consequences of these spinor fields to induce an underlying fluid flow structure in the background of Kerr spacetimes. We show that flag-dipole spinor fields are solutions of the equations of motion in this context. To our knowledge, this is the second time that this class of spinor field appears as a physical solution, the first one occurring as a solution of the Dirac equation in ESK gravities.

1. INTRODUCTION

There exists a robust spinor classification, based on the spinor bilinear covariants [1], and built up over irreducible spin-1/2 representations of the Lorentz group. Within this classification, the first three classes are associated with regular spinors. The fifth class encompasses Majorana and Elko spinors, while the sixth class, consisting of dipole spinors, covers Weyl spinors. Relevant to our purposes here, the fourth and fifth classes, according to [1], comprise spinors supporting Penrose flags [2]. Indeed, the fifth class is characterised by flagpole spinors – presenting the electromagnetic momentum bivector as the flag and the current vector as the pole – whilst the fourth class is determined by the flag-dipole spinors – that have the electromagnetic momentum bivector as the flag and the current vector *and* the spin direction as two poles [1]. We can find in the literature a myriad of examples

* Electronic address: rogerio.cavalcanti@ufabc.edu.br

regarding the classes of regular spinors, that encompass Dirac standard spinors, which are eigenspinors of the parity operator. In addition, some singular spinors are comprised by the so-called classes five and six in Lounesto spinor fields classification. In particular, Majorana and Elko one-dimensional massive spinors are included in class five, whereas Weyl spinors are allocated in the sixth class of dipole spinors. Although we know physical examples of dynamical equations, providing these spinors, there are some unknown spinors in each one of the six classes. They encompass mass dimension one spinors and such novel possibilities can shed new light on our current knowledge in physics. The eigenspinors of the charge conjugation operator having dual helicity reveal unexpected new features that have been recently explored [3–7].

Further than the already explored classes five and six of flagpoles and dipoles spinors, reside the flag-dipole spinors of class four. Although the scalar and pseudo-scalar bilinear covariants vanish in such class, such singular spinors are quite similar to regular spinors. From the dynamical point of view, it had not been a surprising fact that it could be generated as a solution of the Dirac in some particular situation. It was precisely what we found on 2013 to be the prototypical physical example of a flag-dipole spinor field [8], which was the less popular type of spinors in Lounesto classification. Actually, solutions of the Dirac equation in Einstein-Sciama-Kibble gravity, that consists of a $f(R)$ theory of gravity in Einstein-Cartan spacetimes, satisfy the imperative constraints to be a type-(4) flag-dipole singular spinor [8, 9]. In spite of this single existing precedent that has been found two years ago, other type-(4) spinors are unknown, in particular these ones that arise as solutions of some equation of motion. The program that has been paved across the last decade (check, for example, refs. [10–13] and references therein) has the goal of determining the dynamics of all spinors at each class in Lounesto classification [1]. Although we already know the general form of all kinds of spinors in Lounesto's classification [10], the dynamics for every spinors lacks, still. Mass dimension one fermions [11] can occupy both classes four and five of spinor fields.

The goal of this paper has been motivated not only by the prominent results on 4D Minkowski spacetime. In fact, 7D asymptotically flat black hole backgrounds can provide a framework to spinors, whose current density defines a time-like Killing vector at the spatial infinity. Flagpole spinors, typified by Elko spinors, have been already studied in black hole backgrounds, with the aim to study their Hawking radiation through tunnelling methods

[14]. Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian 7D manifolds admit generalised classes of singular spinor fields [15, 16]. It is also possible to see these spinors as soliton-like solutions in a specific black hole background and to identify the current density components $J^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ with the Killing vector field components at the black hole horizon [17]. This is inspired by the example of the Kerr and Myers-Perry 5d black holes. The latter constitutes an appropriate background, where the current density interpolates between the time Killing vector at the spatial infinity and the null Killing vector field on the black hole event horizon [17]. This current density can be seen as a spinor fluid flow. In this paper we consider the extension of this construction to Kerr spacetimes [16]. The only assumption consists of a spinor, whose spin density is coupled to the Riemann tensor [18]. Therefore, an underlying fluid flow can be obtained, in particular, when flagpole and flag-dipole spinors are considered.

This work is organised as follows: In the next section we revise the multivector structure underlying the theory of spinor fields, including the classification of spinors, accordingly. In section 3 we apply the constraints, that arise from the spinors classification, to spinor fluid flows in Kerr spacetimes, hence extending the results of [17, 18]. We finish the paper summarising and discussing further results.

2. CLASSIFYING SPINORS

Throughout this paper, spinors take place in 4D Minkowski spacetime, as classical objects that carry a representation of the Lorentz group $\mathrm{Spin}^e_{1,3} \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ in the space $\mathbb{C}^4$. Indeed, they are sections of the bundle $\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{spin}^e_{1,3}}(M) \times_\rho \mathbb{C}^4$, where ρ stands for the $\mathcal{D}^{(1/2,0)} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{(0,1/2)}$ representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Spin}^e_{1,3}$ in $\mathbb{C}^4$ [19]. The set $\{\gamma_\mu\}$ are the standard gamma matrices. A multivector structure

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sigma + \mathbf{J} + i\mathbf{S} + i\mathbf{K}\gamma_{0123} + \omega\gamma_{0123},$$

where $\gamma_{0123} = \gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3$, has each homogeneous part regarded to usual observables in Dirac theory, by

$$\sigma = \bar{\psi}\psi, \tag{1a}$$

$$\omega = -\bar{\psi}\gamma_{0123}\psi \tag{1b}$$

$$\mathbf{J} = J_\mu \mathbf{e}^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi\mathbf{e}^\mu \tag{1c}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = K_\mu \mathbf{e}^\mu = \bar{\psi}i\gamma_{0123}\gamma_\mu\psi\mathbf{e}^\mu \tag{1d}$$

$$\mathbf{S} = S_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{e}^\mu \wedge \mathbf{e}^\nu = \bar{\psi}i\gamma_{\mu\nu}\psi\mathbf{e}^\mu \wedge \mathbf{e}^\nu \tag{1e}$$

that are interpreted for the electron, respectively, as the mass term, the pseudo-scalar, the current density, the spin direction, and the angular momentum distribution density.

Lounesto arranged all spinors in Minkowski spacetime in six different classes, according to the bilinear covariants for a given spinors. The bounds induced by the Fierz identities, introduced below, derive such six classes [1]:

1) $\sigma \neq 0, \quad \omega \neq 0$ 4) $\mathbf{K} \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{S} \neq 0 \quad (\sigma = \omega = 0)$

2) $\sigma \neq 0, \quad \omega = 0$ 5) $\mathbf{K} = 0, \quad \mathbf{S} \neq 0 \quad (\sigma = \omega = 0)$

3) $\sigma = 0, \quad \omega \neq 0$ 6) $\mathbf{S} = 0, \quad \mathbf{K} \neq 0 \quad (\sigma = \omega = 0)$

A spinor such that $\sigma \neq 0$ and/or $\omega \neq 0$ is said a regular spinor, whereas when $\sigma = 0 = \omega$, a spinor is said to be singular [1]. The first three classes are composed by regular spinors and called regular type-1, 2 and 3 spinors. The fifth class, the flagpole, is represented by Majorana and Elko spinors [20], whereas the sixth class comprises Weyl spinors. The fourth class, the flag-dipole, has had its first physical example recently discovered [8]. Some classes can be mapped into each other [21].

There are some remarkable features associated to the $\mathcal{Z}$ multivector. First, the Fierz identities [22]

$$\mathbf{J}^2 = \sigma^2 + \omega^2, \qquad -\mathbf{K}^2 = \mathbf{J}^2, \qquad \mathbf{J}\cdot\mathbf{K} = 0, \qquad \mathbf{K}\wedge\mathbf{J} = (\omega + \sigma\gamma_{0123})\mathbf{S} \tag{2}$$

are valid for regular spinors, or whatever situation that either $\bar{\psi}\psi \neq 0$ or $\bar{\psi}\gamma_{0123}\psi \neq 0$. For the case of singular spinors, Fierz identities are more general and substitute the standard ones (2), that do not hold anymore. The generalised Fierz identities read:

$$\mathcal{Z}^2/4\sigma = \mathcal{Z}, \qquad 4J^\mu\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}\gamma^\mu\mathcal{Z}, \qquad 8S^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{Z} = i\mathcal{Z}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu]}\mathcal{Z},$$
$$-\mathcal{Z}i\gamma^\mu\gamma^{0123}\mathcal{Z} = 4\mathrm{K}^\mu\mathcal{Z}, \qquad \mathcal{Z}\gamma^{0123}\mathcal{Z} = -4\omega\mathcal{Z}. \tag{3}$$

It is worth to mention that, in the case where the observables $\sigma, \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{K}, \omega$ satisfy the Fierz identities, the multivector $\mathcal{Z}$ is denominated a Fierz aggregate. The Z aggregate plays a prominent role in the framework here presented. Being observables, the bilinear covariants endow a physical interpretation for the multivector structure.

3. FLAG-DIPOLE AND FLAGPOLE SPINOR FLUIDS

A fluid can be essentially categorised by a density, denoted by ρ, and a 4-velocity with components u^μ such that $g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = -1$. When matter fields present angular momentum, as for instance a (Kerr) black hole, there is a dual description of the underlying fluid by a global current density. The vorticity is related to the exterior derivative of a fluid flow and the Riemann curvature tensor as well, being coupled through the spin density [18]. In fact, this coupling is described by

$$d(\rho u) = \underbrace{i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi}_{S^{\mu\nu}} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma \,. \tag{4}$$

This choice is natural, in the sense that both $d(\rho u)$ and $S^{\mu\nu} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu\nu}\psi$ describe angular momenta, namely, the vorticity and the distribution of intrinsic angular momentum (or spin) density, respectively [18]. The spinor field ψ is related to a time-like Killing vector $c_\psi \mathbf{J}$ at the spatial infinity, by

$$\lim_{r\to+\infty} \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi = -c_\psi J^\mu \,, \tag{5}$$

where $c_\psi > 0$ is a normalisation constant. For (4) to hold, the following constraint on the spinor field must be imposed [18]:

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\alpha(S^{\mu\nu}) dx^\alpha \wedge dx^\rho \wedge dx^\sigma = 0 \,. \tag{6}$$

Kerr black hole solutions can illustrate what may be obtained from (4), if the metric $ds^2 = \eta_{ab}e^a{}_\mu e^b{}_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu = \eta_{ab}e^a e^b$ is regarded, as

$$\begin{aligned}
e^1 &= \sqrt{\frac{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}{\Delta}}\, dr \,, \\
e^2 &= \sqrt{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}\, d\theta \,, &(7)\\
e^3 &= \frac{(r^2+a^2)\sin\theta}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}} \left(d\varphi - \frac{a}{r^2+a^2} dt \right) \,, &(8)\\
e^4 &= \sqrt{\frac{\Delta}{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}} \left(dt - a\sin^2\theta\, d\phi \right) , \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \,. &(9)
\end{aligned}$$

The gamma matrices, in this context, read

$$\gamma^a = -i\sigma_a \otimes \sigma_2\,, \quad \gamma^4 = i1_2 \otimes \sigma_3\,, \quad a = 1,2,3\,, \tag{10}$$

and the spinor field can be expressed as

$$\psi = (\psi_{1a} + i\psi_{1b}, \psi_{2a} + i\psi_{2b}, \psi_{3a} + i\psi_{3b}, \psi_{4a} + i\psi_{4b})^{\mathsf{T}}\,, \tag{11}$$

where all real functions in (11) are (r,θ)-dependent. The metric (9) yields the components of the current density:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\psi}\gamma^r\psi &= -2\sqrt{\frac{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}{\Delta}}\, z_1\,,\\
\bar{\psi}\gamma^\phi\psi &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}}\Big(\frac{2z_3}{\sin\theta} + \frac{az_4}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\Big)\,,\\
\bar{\psi}\gamma^\theta\psi &= -\frac{2}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}}\,,\\
\bar{\psi}\gamma^t\psi &= -\frac{r^2}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta}}\Big(\frac{2a\sin\theta z_3}{r^2 + a^2} + \frac{z_4}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\Big)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &= (\psi_{2b}\psi_{3b} + \psi_{2a}\psi_{3a} + \psi_{1b}\psi_{4b} + \psi_{1a}\psi_{4a})\,,\\
z_2 &= (\psi_{2b}\psi_{3a} - \psi_{2a}\psi_{3b}\psi_{1a}\psi_{4b} - \psi_{1b}\psi_{4a})\,,\\
z_3 &= (\psi_{1a}\psi_{3a} - \psi_{2a}\psi_{4a} + \psi_{1b}\psi_{3b} - \psi_{2b}\psi_{4b})\,,\\
z_4 &= (\psi_{1b}^2 + \psi_{1a}^2 + \psi_{2b}^2 + \psi_{2a}^2 + \psi_{3b}^2 + \psi_{3a}^2 + \psi_{4b}^2 + \psi_{4a}^2)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

For the Kerr metric (9), the time-like Killing vector is $J^\mu\partial_\mu = \partial_t$. Now, eq. (5) can be satisfied with

$$z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 0\,, \qquad z_4 = c_\psi \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}(r^2 + a^2)}{(r^2 + a^2\cos^2\theta)^{3/2}}\,. \tag{14}$$

The first three equations are easily solved by

$$\psi_{3b} = -\frac{\psi_{3a}}{\psi_{1b}}\psi_{1a}\,, \quad \psi_{4a} = \frac{\psi_{3a}}{\psi_{1b}}\psi_{2b}\,, \quad \psi_{4b} = -\frac{\psi_{3a}}{\psi_{1b}}\psi_{2a}\,. \tag{15}$$

By imposing that the spinor is singular, it implies that

$$\|\psi_3\|^2 = \psi_{4a}\left(\frac{\psi_{2a}}{\psi_{2b}}\psi_{4b} - \psi_{4a}\right) \tag{16}$$

where $\psi_\mu = \psi_{\mu a} + i\psi_{\mu b}$. The conditions that singular spinors are either flag-dipole or flagpole spinors are: $\|\psi_3\|^2 \neq \|\psi_2\|^2$ and $\|\psi_3\|^2 = \|\psi_2\|^2$, respectively. In the first case, we achieve

a new physical example of a flag-dipole spinor, regarding a spinor in a Kerr black hole background. Moreover, the spinor provides by Eq.(5) a current density $\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ that approaches a time-like Killing spinor at the spatial infinity.

Eq. (4) implies that

$$d(\rho u) = f_{rh}dr\wedge d\theta + f_{pt}d\varphi\wedge dt + f_{hp}d\theta\wedge d\varphi + f_{rp}dr\wedge d\varphi + f_{ht}d\theta\wedge dt + f_{rt}dr\wedge dt\,, \quad (17)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{rh} &= \frac{r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta}{\sqrt{\Delta}}M\left(12ar_1\cos\theta\kappa_1 + 2r\,r_3\kappa_2\right)\,,\\
f_{pt} &= \frac{2M\sin\theta\sqrt{\Delta}}{(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^3}\left(2rr_3\sin\theta\kappa_1 - 3ar_1\cos\theta\kappa_2\right)\,,\\
f_{hp} &= \frac{4M\sin\theta}{(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^3}\Big(arr_3\sin\theta\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_3 - 2r(r^2+a^2)r_3\kappa_4\\
&\quad - 6a(r^2+a^2)r_1\cos\theta\kappa_5 - 3a^2r_1\cos\theta\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_6\Big)\,,\\
f_{rp} &= \frac{4M\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\Delta}(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^3}\Big(3a(r^2+a^2)r_1\cos\theta\kappa_3 - 6a^2r_1\cos\theta\sin\theta\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_4\\
&\quad + 2arr_3\sin\theta\kappa_5 + r(r^2+a^2)r_3\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_6\Big)\,,\\
f_{ht} &= \frac{4M}{(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^3}\Big(-rr_3\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_3 + 2arr_3\sin\theta\kappa_4 + 6a^2r_1\cos\theta\sin\theta\kappa_5 + 3ar_1\cos\theta\sqrt{\Delta}\kappa_6\Big)\,,\\
f_{rt} &= \frac{4M}{\sqrt{\Delta}(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^3}\left(-\frac{3a^2r_1\cos\theta\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\kappa_3 + 6ar_1\cos\theta\kappa_4 - 2rr_3\kappa_5 - \frac{arr_3\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\kappa_6\right)\,,
\end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $r_1 = r^2 - \frac{1}{3}a^2\cos^2\theta$, $r_3 = r^2 - 3a^2\cos^2\theta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1 &= \psi_{1b}\psi_{3a} - \psi_{1a}\psi_{3b} - \psi_{2b}\psi_{4a} + \psi_{2a}\psi_{4b}\,,\\
\kappa_2 &= \psi_{1a}^2 + \psi_{1b}^2 - \psi_{2a}^2 - \psi_{2b}^2 - \psi_{3a}^2 - \psi_{3b}^2 + \psi_{4a}^2 + \psi_{4b}^2\,,\\
\kappa_3 &= \psi_{2a}\psi_{3a} + \psi_{2b}\psi_{3b} - \psi_{1a}\psi_{4a} - \psi_{1b}\psi_{4b}\,,\\
\kappa_4 &= \psi_{1a}\psi_{2a} + \psi_{1b}\psi_{2b} - \psi_{3a}\psi_{4a} - \psi_{3b}\psi_{4b}\,,\\
\kappa_5 &= \psi_{2b}\psi_{3a} - \psi_{2a}\psi_{3b} + \psi_{1b}\psi_{4a} - \psi_{1a}\psi_{4b}\,,\\
\kappa_6 &= \psi_{1b}\psi_{2a} - \psi_{1a}\psi_{2b} - \psi_{3b}\psi_{4a} + \psi_{3a}\psi_{4b}\,.
\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The author in [18] argues that ρu has a physically significance if the constraint $f_{pt} = 0$ in (17) is imposed. Hence

$$\psi_{1a}^2 + \psi_{1b}^2 = \psi_{2a}^2 + \psi_{2b}^2\,. \quad (20)$$

Eqs. (14) and (20) can be solved by

$$\psi_{1a}=\sqrt{\psi_{2a}^2+\psi_{2b}^2-\psi_{1b}^2}\,,\qquad \psi_{3a}^2=\psi_{1b}^2\frac{c_\psi}{2\left(\psi_{1a}^2+\psi_{1b}^2\right)^2}\frac{(r^2+a^2\cos^2\theta)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\Delta}(r^2+a^2)}\,. \tag{21}$$

Now ψ_{1b}, ψ_{2b} and $\psi_{1a}^2+\psi_{1b}^2$ remain, since degrees of freedom arise from $d^2(\rho u)=0$ [18].

By taking into account the interesting case is the limit of a lower rotating black hole $a\to 0$, Ref. [18] adopts the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{1b} &= \psi_{10}+\psi_{11}a+\mathcal{O}(a^2),\\ \psi_{2b} &= \psi_{20}+\psi_{21}a+\mathcal{O}(a^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

namely

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{20} &= \psi_{10}\,,\\ \psi_{21} &= \psi_{11}\,,\\ \psi_{22} &= \psi_{12}-\frac{r^2u_{t2}'(\theta)}{4Mf}\sqrt{c_\psi f^{1/2}-4\psi_{10}^2}-\sin(2\theta)\sqrt{c_\psi f^{1/2}-4\psi_{10}^2}\\ &\left(\frac{2(1260M^4-180M^3r-213M^2r^2-142r^3(M-r)}{23625M^4r\sqrt{\Delta}}+\frac{r(9M^2+12Mr+50r^2)+24r^3\ln(1+f^{1/2})}{675M^4\sqrt{f\Delta}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $f=1-\frac{2M}{r}$. A similar technique was employed in [23].

When $a\to 0$, the black hole horizon is placed at $r_0\sim 2M-\frac{a^2}{2M}$. Hence (24) diverges at $r=2M$, however the framework is important in the region outside the black hole, where $r>2M$. Hence this divergence does not take place for the framework here presented.

A fluid flow can be attained in such a framework. In fact Eq. (4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}u^\phi &= \frac{a}{r^2f^{1/2}}\Big(1-\frac{1}{f^{1/2}}\left(1+\frac{3M}{r}\right)^{-1}\Big)+\mathcal{O}(a^2)\\ u^t &= \frac{1}{f^{1/2}}+\mathcal{O}(a^2)\,,\qquad\qquad u^r=0=u^\theta\\ \rho &= \frac{4}{15}\frac{c_\psi}{M}f\Big(1+\frac{3M}{r}\Big)+\mathcal{O}(a^2)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Prominent features arise from this prescription. In fact, since $\lim_{r\to+\infty}u=\partial_t$, there is a static fluid description at this limit. One also has $u^\phi\sim a$, which means that there is no spatial flow underlying the Schwarzschild black hole. Moreover, the fluid density vanishes exactly on the black hole horizon. Now, if $\rho\to 0$ at some point in the spacetime, it does not mean that the density of the fluid really vanishes. The limits $\lim_{r\to+\infty}u^\phi\sim r^{-2}$ and $\lim_{f\to 0}u^\phi=\infty$ also hold in this situation. Similarly, the time component of the velocity u^t

further diverges at $f = 0$. The divergence does not matter outside the black hole horizon. Finally, $\lim_{r\to+\infty} \rho = 4c_\psi/15M$, what implies that the density of the fluid goes asymptotically the Minkowski spacetime [18].

The current density components $J^\mu = \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi$ is led by (15) and (21) to

$$\mathbf{J} = J_\mu \mathbf{e}^\mu = -c_\psi(\partial_t + f_a\partial_\phi)\,. \tag{25}$$

The vector $c_\psi^{-1}\mathbf{J}$ interpolates between the time-like Killing vector at the spatial infinity and the null Killing vector on the black hole horizon [18]. Furthermore, by identifying the current of probability to the fluid as

$$-J^\mu = \rho u^\mu\,, \tag{26}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{c_\psi}{\rho}\left(\partial_t + \frac{a}{r^2+a^2}\partial_\phi\right)\,, \\ \rho &= c_\psi\frac{\sqrt{\Delta(a^2\cos^2\theta + r^2)}}{r^2+a^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Hence, flagpole and flag-dipole spinors can generate a fluid (27) in the vicinity of a black hole. The spinor current density can be further identified to a Killing vector at the spatial infinity.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The underlying spinor fluid behaviour was studied considering Kerr backgrounds. This fluid is static and uniform when $r \to \infty$, nevertheless it presents vorticity when $r \sim 0$. Ref. [18] considers stationary spacetimes, which have a time-like Killing vector attached to them. We already established the correspondence between the current density and the Killing vector at the spatial infinity in stationary axisymmetric black holes in 7D. Such Killing vector fields were shown to interpolate between the null Killing vector on the horizon and the time-like Killing vector at $r \to \infty$ [17, 24].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

RdR is grateful to CNPq grants No. 473326/2013-2, No. 451682/2015-7 and No. 303027/2012-6, to FAPESP Grant No. 2015/10270-0, to INFN project "Classification of

Spinors", and to SISSA, for the hospitality. RTC is grateful to CAPES, PDSE and to Prof. R. Casadio for useful discussions and for the hospitality.

---

1. P. Lounesto, *Clifford Algebras and Spinors*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2001).
2. R. Penrose and W. Rindler, *Two-Spinor Calculus and Relativistic Fields*, Second Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1986).
3. D. V. Ahluwalia and D. Grumiller, *JCAP* **07** (2005) 012.
4. D. V. Ahluwalia and S. P. Horvath, *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 078.
5. D. V. Ahluwalia, C. Y. Lee, D. Schritt and T. F. Watson, Phys. Lett. B **687** (2010) 248.
6. C. G. Boehmer, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008) 123535.
7. M. Dias, F. de Campos and J. M. Hoff da Silva, Phys. Lett. B **706** (2012) 352.
8. R. da Rocha, L. Fabbri, J. M. Hoff da Silva, R. T. Cavalcanti and J. A. Silva-Neto, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013) 102505.
9. J. M. Hoff da Silva and R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2013) 1519.
10. R. T. Cavalcanti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23** (2014) 1444002.
11. C. H. Villalobos, J. M. Hoff da Silva and R. da Rocha, Eur. Phys. J. C **75** (2015) 266.
12. R. da Rocha, A. E. Bernardini and J. M. Hoff da Silva, *JHEP* **1104** (2011) 110.
13. A. E. Bernardini and R. da Rocha, Phys. Lett. B **717** (2012) 238.
14. R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, Europhys. Lett. **107** (2014) 50001.
15. L. Bonora, K. P. S. de Brito and R. da Rocha, *JHEP* **1502** (2015) 069.
16. L. Bonora and R. da Rocha, *JHEP* **1601** (2016) 133.
17. J. Mei, *Entropy for General Extremal Black Holes,* JHEP **04** (2010) 005.
18. J. Mei, *Towards A Possible Fluid Flow Underlying the Kerr Spacetime* arXiv:1104.3728 [hep-th].
19. W. A. Rodrigues, Jr., R. da Rocha and J. Vaz, Jr., Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **2** (2005) 305.
20. R. da Rocha and W. A. Rodrigues, Jr., Mod. Phys. Lett. A **21** (2006) 65.
21. R. da Rocha and J. M. Hoff da Silva, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007) 123517.
22. J. P. Crawford, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 1991.
23. L. Bonora, M. Cvitan, P. D. Prester, S. Pallua and I. Smolic, Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) 024047.
24. J. Mei, *Spinor Fields and Symmetries of the Spacetime*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **44** (2012) 2191.